

Exact results in AdS/CFT from localization

James Sparks

Mathematical Institute, Oxford

Based on work with Fernando Alday, Daniel Farquet, Martin Fluder, Carolina Gregory
Jakob Lorenzen, Dario Martelli, and Paul Richmond

Field theory:

- In the last few years it has been appreciated that one can put general (Euclidean) supersymmetric gauge theories on curved backgrounds, preserving supersymmetry.
- In such a theory the VEV of any BPS operator *localizes*

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{BPS}} \rangle = \int_{\text{all fields}} e^{-S} \mathcal{O}_{\text{BPS}}$$

= exactly

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}\text{-invariant fields}} e^{-S} \mathcal{O}_{\text{BPS}} \cdot (\text{one-loop determinant}) .$$

A form of *fixed point theorem*: \mathcal{Q} is a supercharge, generating a supersymmetry variation of the theory.

- For appropriate classes of theories and operators one can compute such quantities *exactly* in field theory, on an *arbitrary* background \mathbf{M}_d .
- Applications include non-perturbative tests of various conjectured dualities.

In particular, if the field theory on (conformally) flat space has an AdS dual, we may try to compare these computations to gravity.

Gravity:

- There are large classes of supersymmetric gauge theories that, in a suitable large \mathbf{N} limit, are conjectured to be described by the supergravity limit of string/M-theory.
- Typically described by a (warped) product $\text{AdS}_{\mathbf{d}+1} \times \mathbf{Y}$, where different choices of internal space \mathbf{Y} correspond to different gauge theories, and $\mathbf{N} =$ flux quantum number.
- We must then solve a supergravity filling problem in Euclidean quantum gravity: find the (least action) solution on some $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}+1}$ such that $\partial\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}+1} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

I will summarize results for $\mathbf{d} = 3$ and $\mathbf{d} = 5$.

One can put an arbitrary $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory in $\mathbf{d} = 3$ dimensions on a (Euclidean) curved background following [Festuccia-Seiberg]: couple the theory to $\mathbf{d} = 3$ supergravity, and take a rigid limit in which $m_{\text{Planck}} \rightarrow \infty$ [Closset-Dumitrescu-Festuccia-Komargodski].

As well as the background metric on \mathbf{M}_3 , there are two background vector fields \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{V} , and a scalar function \mathbf{h} , together with Killing spinor χ satisfying

$$(\nabla_\mu - i\mathbf{A}_\mu)\chi = -\frac{i}{2}\mathbf{h}\gamma_\mu\chi - i\mathbf{V}_\mu\chi - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\mathbf{V}^\nu\gamma^\rho\chi.$$

Of central importance for us is the Killing vector

$$\mathbf{K} = \chi^\dagger\gamma^\mu\chi\partial_\mu = \partial_\psi.$$

The vector field \mathbf{K} is nowhere zero, generating a foliation of \mathbf{M}_3 which is transversely holomorphic. The metric is locally

$$ds_3^2 = \Omega(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})^2(d\psi + \mathbf{a})^2 + \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})^2 d\mathbf{z}d\bar{\mathbf{z}} .$$

where \mathbf{z} is a complex coordinate.

Essentially the background is parametrized by an arbitrary choice of the functions $\Omega(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$, and local one-form $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})d\mathbf{z} + \text{c.c.}$, and imposing the Killing spinor equation then fixes everything else in terms of these.

If all the orbits of \mathbf{K} close then \mathbf{M}_3 is the total space of a $\mathbf{U}(1)$ orbibundle over an orbifold Riemann surface Σ (a Seifert fibred 3-manifold).

On the other hand, if at least one orbit is open then \mathbf{M}_3 necessarily admits a $\mathbf{U}(1) \times \mathbf{U}(1)$ isometry, and we may write

$$\mathbf{K} = \partial_\psi = \mathbf{b}_1 \partial_{\varphi_1} + \mathbf{b}_2 \partial_{\varphi_2} ,$$

where $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ can be thought of as parametrizing a choice of \mathbf{K} .

General $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory in $\mathbf{d} = 3$ dimensions:

- Vector multiplet $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma, \lambda, \mathbf{D})$ in the adjoint of the gauge group \mathbf{G} , for which we may write a Chern-Simons, as well as Yang-Mills, action.
- Matter chiral multiplet (ϕ, ψ, \mathbf{F}) in a representation \mathcal{R} of \mathbf{G} , with superpotential.

The localization computation for this general set-up is in our paper [[1307.6848](#)]. One first determines the \mathcal{Q} -invariant field configurations, and then computes the one-loop determinants around these.

In the vector multiplet we find the localization equations for $\mathbf{M}_3 \cong \mathbf{S}^3$ imply

$$\mathcal{A} = 0, \quad \Omega\sigma = \sigma_0 = \text{constant}, \quad \mathbf{D} = -\frac{\hbar}{\Omega}\sigma_0.$$

The matter multiplet is trivial: all fields localize to zero.

The classical action for $\mathbf{M}_3 \cong \mathbf{S}^3$, evaluated on the localization locus, is given entirely by the Chern-Simons action:

$$S_{\text{CS}} = -\frac{i\mathbf{k}}{2\pi} \text{Tr}(\sigma_0^2) \int_{\mathbf{M}_3} \frac{\hbar}{\Omega^2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{g}} d^3\mathbf{x} = \frac{i\pi\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{b}_1\mathbf{b}_2|} \text{Tr}(\sigma_0^2).$$

Most of the work is in computing the one-loop determinants.

The final result for the partition function is

$$\mathbf{Z} = \int d\sigma_0 e^{-\frac{i\pi k}{|\mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{b}_2|} \text{Tr} \sigma_0^2} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} 4 \sinh \frac{\pi \sigma_0 \alpha}{|\mathbf{b}_1|} \sinh \frac{\pi \sigma_0 \alpha}{|\mathbf{b}_2|} \cdot \prod_{\rho} s_{\beta} \left[\frac{i(\beta + \beta^{-1})}{2} (1 - \mathbf{R}) - \frac{\rho(\sigma_0)}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{b}_2|}} \right].$$

Here we have defined $\beta = \sqrt{|\mathbf{b}_1/\mathbf{b}_2|}$, ρ denote weights in a weight space decomposition of the representation \mathcal{R} for the matter fields, \mathbf{R} is their R-charge, and $s_{\beta}(\mathbf{z})$ denotes the double sine function.

It is also straightforward to insert BPS operators, for example the Wilson loop

$$\mathbf{W} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} \left[\mathcal{P} \exp \int_{\gamma} ds (i\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} + \sigma |\dot{\mathbf{x}}|) \right],$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{\mu}(\mathbf{s})$ parametrizes with worldline $\gamma = \text{orbit of } \mathbf{K}$, is \mathcal{Q} -invariant.

$\langle \mathbf{W} \rangle$ is then computed by inserting $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} e^{2\pi\ell\sigma_0}$ into the localized partition function, where $2\pi\ell = \text{length of Reeb orbit (e.g. at the "pole" where } \partial_{\varphi_1} = 0, \ell = 1/|\mathbf{b}_2|)$ [Farquet-JFS].

For comparison with AdS/CFT we should focus on field theories that in (conformally) flat space have an AdS gravity dual.

There are huge classes of these, described by Chern-Simons-quiver gauge theories, with $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{N})^p$ gauge groups, e.g. the maximally supersymmetric case is the ABJM theory, living on \mathbf{N} M2-branes in flat space.

The gravity duals are M-theory backgrounds of the form $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbf{Y}_7$, with \mathbf{N} units of $*\mathbf{G}_4$ through the internal space \mathbf{Y}_7 , and arise as e.g. near-horizon limits of \mathbf{N} M2-branes at Calabi-Yau four-fold singularities [Martelli-JFS, many other authors].

The large \mathbf{N} limit of the matrix model partition function was computed in [Martelli-Passias-JFS], using a saddle point method of [Herzog-Klebanov-Pufu-Tesileanu].

This involves the asymptotic expansion of the double sine function, and an ansatz for the saddle point eigenvalue distribution for σ_0 .

The final results are extremely simple:

$$\begin{aligned}\log Z &= \frac{(|\mathbf{b}_1| + |\mathbf{b}_2|)^2}{4|\mathbf{b}_1\mathbf{b}_2|} \cdot \log Z_{\text{round } S^3}, \\ \log \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \ell(|\mathbf{b}_1| + |\mathbf{b}_2|) \cdot \log \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_{\text{round } S^3}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the dependence on the background geometry factorizes from the dependence on the choice of gauge theory.

In [1404.0268] and [1406.2493] we have reproduced these formulas from a dual Euclidean quantum gravity calculation, for a very general class of solutions.

We work in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity in four dimensions. This is Einstein-Maxwell theory, with a graviphoton \mathcal{A} , and we use the fact that any supersymmetric solution of this theory on \mathbf{M}_4 uplifts to a supersymmetric solution of M-theory on $\mathbf{M}_4 \times \mathbf{Y}_7$ [Gauntlett-Varela].

The Killing spinor equation takes the form

$$[\nabla_\mu - i\mathcal{A}_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_\mu + \frac{i}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\nu\rho}\Gamma^{\nu\rho}\Gamma_\mu]\epsilon = 0.$$

The local form of Euclidean supersymmetric solutions to this theory was studied by [Dunajski-Gutowski-Sabra-Tod].

In particular, there is a class of *self-dual* solutions in which $*_4\mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F}$ is anti-self-dual, and the four-metric is then Einstein with anti-self-dual Weyl tensor.

We also have a Killing vector

$$\mathbf{K} = i\epsilon^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \Gamma_5 \epsilon \partial_\mu = \partial_\psi .$$

Self-dual Einstein metrics with a Killing vector have a rich geometric structure. They are (locally) conformal to a scalar-flat Kähler metric, with the metric determined entirely by a solution to the Toda equation:

$$ds_4^2 = \frac{1}{y^2} ds_{\text{Kähler}}^2 = \frac{1}{y^2} \left[\mathbf{V}^{-1} (d\psi + \phi)^2 + \mathbf{V} (dy^2 + 4e^w dzd\bar{z}) \right].$$

where $\mathbf{V} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y w$, the expression for $d\phi$ is known (but complicated), and

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} w + \partial_y^2 e^w = 0.$$

The conformal boundary is at $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$, and one can show that the structure induced on the conformal boundary is precisely the three-dimensional background geometry of [Closset-Dumitrescu-Festuccia-Komargodski].

In particular

$$\epsilon = \mathbf{y}^{-1/2} \left[(\mathbf{1} + \Gamma_0 + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{w}_{(1)} \Gamma_0) \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{y}^2) \right],$$

where χ is a three-dimensional spinor satisfying the Killing spinor equation we saw earlier, and we expand $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \mathbf{w}_{(0)}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) + \mathbf{y} \mathbf{w}_{(1)}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{y}^2)$.

Suppose we have such a solution. The holographic free energy is

$$-\log Z_{\text{gravity}} = S_{\text{Einstein-Maxwell}} + S_{\text{Gibbons-Hawking}} + S_{\text{counterterms}} .$$

The individual terms certainly depend on the detailed solution. For example

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int_{B_4} F^2 \sqrt{\det g} d^4x &= -\frac{\pi(|\mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{b}_2|)^2}{8G_N |\mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{b}_2|} \\ &+ \frac{1}{256\pi G_N} \int_{M_3} \left(3w_{(1)}^3 + 4w_{(1)}w_{(2)} \right) \sqrt{\det g_3} d^3x . \end{aligned}$$

Here we have assumed the topology $M_3 \cong S^3$ and $M_4 \cong B_4$.

However, the final result is

$$-\log Z_{\text{gravity}} = \frac{(|\mathbf{b}_1| + |\mathbf{b}_2|)^2}{4|\mathbf{b}_1\mathbf{b}_2|} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2G_{\mathbf{N}}},$$

agreeing with the field theory computation!

The Wilson loop in the fundamental representation maps to a supersymmetric M2-brane, wrapping a calibrated copy of the M-theory circle [Farquet-JFS], and with a minimal surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{B}_4$ with $\partial\Sigma = \gamma = \text{orbit of Reeb vector } \mathbf{K}$.

$\log \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_{\text{gravity}}$ is identified with minus the regularized action of the M2-brane, and in [1406.2493] we showed this reproduces the large \mathbf{N} field theory result.

We now change focus to $\mathbf{d} = 5$. [Imamura] has defined five-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories on the $\mathbf{SU}(3) \times \mathbf{U}(1)$ -invariant squashed five-sphere background

$$ds_5^2 = \frac{1}{s^2} (d\tau + \mathbf{C})^2 + ds_{\mathbb{CP}^2}^2$$

where $\frac{1}{2}d\mathbf{C} = \omega =$ Kähler form for the Fubini-Study metric on \mathbb{CP}^2 . Here $s =$ squashing parameter, with $s = 1$ the round five-sphere.

There is also a background R-symmetry gauge field

$$\mathbf{A}^R = \frac{1}{s^2} (1 + \mathbf{Q} \sqrt{1 - s^2}) \sqrt{1 - s^2} (d\tau + \mathbf{C}) ,$$

where $\mathbf{U}(1)_R \subset \mathbf{SU}(2)_R$ and $\mathbf{Q} = 1, \mathbf{Q} = -3$ give rise to 3/4 BPS and 1/4 BPS solutions, respectively.

The *perturbative* partition function again localizes onto an integral over the constant mode σ_0 of the scalar in the vector multiplet, and the final formula involves triple sine functions.

A particular class of five-dimensional gauge theories, with gauge group $\mathbf{USp}(2\mathbf{N})$ and arising from a D4-D8 system, is expected to have a large \mathbf{N} description in terms of massive type IIA supergravity [[Ferrara-Kehagias-Partouche-Zaffaroni](#)], [[Brandhuber-Oz](#)].

In [[Jafferis-Pufu](#)] the large \mathbf{N} limit of the partition function of these theories on the *round* sphere was computed and successfully compared to the entanglement entropy of the dual warped $\text{AdS}_6 \times \mathbf{S}^4$ supergravity solution.

In [1405.7194] we computed the large \mathbf{N} limit of the $\mathbf{USp}(2\mathbf{N})$ gauge theories on the squashed five-sphere, finding the free energy

$$\log Z = \frac{(|\mathbf{b}_1| + |\mathbf{b}_2| + |\mathbf{b}_3|)^3}{27|\mathbf{b}_1\mathbf{b}_2\mathbf{b}_3|} \cdot \log Z_{\text{round } S^5},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{b}_2 = \mathbf{b}_3 & 1/4 \text{ BPS} \\ \mathbf{b}_1 = -1 - \sqrt{1 - s^2}, \mathbf{b}_2 = \mathbf{b}_3 = 1 - \sqrt{1 - s^2} & 3/4 \text{ BPS} \end{cases}$$

There is again a supersymmetric Killing vector bilinear \mathbf{K} , and embedding $S^5 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$, this is $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{b}_1 \partial_{\varphi_1} + \mathbf{b}_2 \partial_{\varphi_2} + \mathbf{b}_3 \partial_{\varphi_3}$.

We also computed the large \mathbf{N} limit of BPS Wilson loops. If the worldline wraps the $\mathbf{S}_i^1 \subset \mathbf{S}^5$ at the origin of two copies of \mathbb{R}^2 , then we find

$$\log \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle = \frac{|\mathbf{b}_1| + |\mathbf{b}_2| + |\mathbf{b}_3|}{3|\mathbf{b}_i|} \cdot \log \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_{\text{round } \mathbf{S}^5} .$$

We have reproduced these formulae from a dual supergravity computation.

We work in six-dimensional Romans $\mathbf{F}(4)$ gauged supergravity, which is a consistent truncation of massive IIA supergravity on \mathbf{S}^4 [Cvetic-Lu-Pope]. As well as the metric, there is a scalar \mathbf{X} , two-form potential \mathbf{B} , one-form potential \mathbf{A} , and an $\mathbf{SO}(3) \sim \mathbf{SU}(2)$ R-symmetry gauge field \mathbf{A}_I , $I = 1, 2, 3$.

The one-form \mathbf{A} is a Stueckelberg field, which may be set to $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ by a gauge transformation. The \mathbf{B} -field then becomes massive, and the Euclidean action is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{bulk}} = & -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int_{M_6} \left[R * \mathbf{1} - 4\mathbf{X}^{-2} d\mathbf{X} \wedge *d\mathbf{X} \right. \\ & - \left(\frac{2}{9}\mathbf{X}^{-6} - \frac{8}{3}\mathbf{X}^{-2} - 2\mathbf{X}^2 \right) * \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{X}^{-2} \left(\frac{4}{9}\mathbf{B} \wedge *\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{F}_1 \wedge *\mathbf{F}_1 \right) \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{X}^4 \mathbf{H} \wedge *\mathbf{H} - i\mathbf{B} \wedge \left(\frac{2}{27}\mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}_1 \wedge \mathbf{F}_1 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice the cubic Chern-Simons coupling for \mathbf{B} . Its curvature is $\mathbf{H} = d\mathbf{B}$.

A solution to the corresponding equations of motion is supersymmetric provided the Killing spinor equation and dilatino equation hold.

The squashed five-sphere background has $\mathbf{SU(3)} \times \mathbf{U(1)}$ symmetry, and one expects this to be preserved by the bulk filling. This leads to the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} ds_6^2 &= \alpha^2(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r}^2 + \gamma^2(\mathbf{r})(d\tau + \mathbf{C})^2 + \beta^2(\mathbf{r})ds_{\mathbf{CP}^2}^2 , \\ \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} \wedge (d\tau + \mathbf{C}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{C} , \\ \mathbf{A}_1 &= \mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{r})(d\tau + \mathbf{C}) , \end{aligned}$$

together with $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r})$.

We have constructed smooth, supersymmetric, asymptotically locally Euclidean AdS solutions with the topology $\mathbf{M}_6 \cong \mathbf{B}_6$, with conformal boundary the squashed five-sphere backgrounds of [Imamura]. These may be given as expansions around the conformal boundary $\mathbf{r} = \infty$, and/or as expansions in the squashing parameter \mathbf{s} .

Reparametrization invariance allows us to set $\beta(\mathbf{r}) = 3\sqrt{6\mathbf{r}^2 - 1}/\sqrt{2}$ to its AdS₆ value, and an **SO(3)** rotation sets $\mathbf{f}_3(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$, $\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{f}_2(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}$.

For example, for the 3/4 BPS solution the first few terms in the expansion around $\mathbf{r} = \infty$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}r + \frac{8+s^2}{36\sqrt{2}s^2} \frac{1}{r^3} + \dots, \\ \gamma(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{s}r + \frac{-16+7s^2}{12\sqrt{3}s^3} \frac{1}{r} - \frac{-1280+1120s^2+241s^4}{2592\sqrt{3}s^5} \frac{1}{r^3} + \dots, \\ \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}) &= 1 + \frac{1-s^2-3\sqrt{1-s^2}}{54s^2} \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{s^2\sqrt{1-s^2}\kappa}{12(1-s^2+\sqrt{1-s^2})} \frac{1}{r^3} + \dots, \\ \rho(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(s^2+3\sqrt{1-s^2}-1)}{s^3} \frac{1}{r^2} + \dots, \\ \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{3i(\sqrt{6}\sqrt{1-s^2})}{s}r + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}i\sqrt{1-s^2}(5s^2+9\sqrt{1-s^2}-5)}{3s^3} \frac{1}{r} + \dots, \\ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{1-s^2+\sqrt{1-s^2}}{s^2} + \frac{2(-2+2s^2-(2+s^2)\sqrt{1-s^2})}{9s^4} \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\kappa}{r^3} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The parameter κ is uniquely determined by requiring this to extend to a smooth solution on the ball $\mathbf{M}_6 \cong \mathbf{B}_6$. As an expansion in

$$\delta = \sqrt{-1 + s^{-1}}$$

this is

$$\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\kappa = \delta + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\delta^2 + \frac{113}{36}\delta^3 + \frac{25}{9\sqrt{2}}\delta^4 + \frac{1127}{288}\delta^5 + \frac{35}{9\sqrt{2}}\delta^6 + \dots$$

Similar results hold in the 1/4 BPS case, except here we find a *two-parameter* family of solutions, leading to a new supersymmetric squashing of \mathbf{S}^5 . In particular this includes a one-parameter subfamily of 1/2 BPS solutions.

As in four dimensions the regularized action is

$$-\log Z_{\text{gravity}} = S_{\text{bulk}} + S_{\text{Gibbons-Hawking}} + S_{\text{ct}} .$$

However, unlike in four dimensions the counterterms S_{ct} had not been computed.

This is a straightforward, but very long, computation. In particular the \mathbf{B} -field is both massive and has a cubic Chern-Simons interaction, which leads to a much more complicated analysis than for more standard fields.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{ct}} = & \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \int_{\partial M_6} \left\{ \left[\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{h}) - \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbf{h}}^2 + \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{h})_{ij} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{h})^{ij} - \frac{15}{64\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{h})^2 - \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{F}_1\|_{\mathbf{h}}^2 \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}} \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B}^4 + \frac{5}{8\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{d} *_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{3} \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbf{h}}^2 - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{d} \delta_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{3} \mathbf{d} *_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{B} \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{d}\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbf{h}}^2 \\
& + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} (1 - \mathbf{x})^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \text{Ric}(\mathbf{h}) \circ \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} + \frac{9}{32\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{h}) \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbf{h}}^2 - \frac{13}{192\sqrt{2}} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbf{h}}^4 \Big] \sqrt{\det \mathbf{h}} \, \mathbf{d}^5 \mathbf{x} \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{B} \wedge [\mathbf{d} *_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{B} + \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{3} \mathbf{B} \wedge \delta_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B} - \frac{2}{9} \mathbf{B} \wedge *_{\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{B})] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{Ric}(\mathbf{h})_{ij} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{h})_{ij}$ denotes the Ricci tensor of the boundary metric \mathbf{h}_{ij} , with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{h})$ the Ricci scalar. The inner product of two \mathbf{p} -forms ν_1, ν_2 is defined by $\langle \nu_1, \nu_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{h}} \, \mathbf{d}^5 \mathbf{x} = \nu_1 \wedge *_{\mathbf{h}} \nu_2$, which then also defines the square norm via $\|\nu\|_{\mathbf{h}}^2 = \langle \nu, \nu \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}$. The adjoint $\delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ of \mathbf{d} with respect to \mathbf{h}_{ij} acting on the two-form \mathbf{B} is $\delta_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B} = *_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{d} *_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B}$, and we have also defined $\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{B}^4 \equiv \mathbf{B}_i{}^j \mathbf{B}_j{}^k \mathbf{B}_k{}^l \mathbf{B}_l{}^i$. Finally, we have defined the \mathbf{p} -form $(\mathbf{S} \circ \nu)_{i_1 \dots i_p} \equiv \mathbf{S}_{[i_1}{}^j \nu_{j|i_2 \dots i_p]}$, where \mathbf{S}_{ij} is any symmetric 2-tensor, and ν is any \mathbf{p} -form.

Using this we may compute the holographic free energy. For example, for the 3/4 BPS solution we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{\text{bulk}} + \mathbf{S}_{\text{Gibbons-Hawking}} + \mathbf{S}_{\text{ct}} = & -\frac{27\pi^2}{4\mathbf{G}_N} \left(1 + \frac{8}{3}\delta^2 + \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{27}\delta^3 + \frac{68}{27}\delta^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{28\sqrt{2}}{27}\delta^5 + \frac{32}{27}\delta^6 + \dots \right) . \end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the field theory result. The BPS Wilson loop maps to a fundamental string in type **IIA**, at the “pole” of the internal \mathbf{S}^4 [[Assel-Estes-Yamazaki](#)]. The renormalized string action is

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{string}} = \int_{\Sigma} \left[\mathbf{X}^{-2} \sqrt{\det \gamma} d^2\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{B} \right] - \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \text{length}(\partial\Sigma) ,$$

and also agrees with the large \mathbf{N} field theory results.